Applying a Technological Integration Decision Framework to Innovation Governance

Do you have something to say about this article?

Visit the Journal pages of the ISACA[®] website (www.isaca. org/journal), find the article and click on the Comments link to share your thoughts.

http://bit.ly/2GsEYo5

亦有中文简体译本 www.isaca.org/currentissue

Innovation is the process of transforming an idea or concept into a functional and marketable value proposition reflecting creative opportunity.¹ Moreover, innovation is a total process of interrelated subprocesses.² Thus, innovation includes the creation of an idea or concept and subsequent implementation of the idea or concept as a perceived new product, process, service³ or strategy.⁴ Continually developing innovations could aid an organization in sustaining or acquiring a competitive advantage.⁵ However, enterprises often need an innovation framework to support organizational innovation governance.

Business leaders who seek to manage innovation must ensure that personal repositories of knowledge are accessible and available for collaborative efforts.⁶ "In order to benefit most from different types of partners, firms need to optimize external search strategies^{7,8} and adopt appropriate partnership governance systems."⁹ Knowledge sharing positively affects innovation performance and accidental knowledge leakage negatively moderates relationships.¹⁰ Consequently, organizations must balance the inevitable trade-off between knowledge sharing and governance mechanisms.¹¹ A contextual discussion will take place in the following sections concerning the framing of supply chain innovation strategies supporting innovation governance for

Robert E. Davis, DBA, CISA, CICA

Is a freelance information systems audit senior manager/consultant, author and university-level instructor. He has provided data security consulting and information systems auditing services to the US Securities and Exchange Commission, the US Enrichment Corporation, Raytheon Company, the US Interstate Commerce Commission, Capital One, Dow Jones & Company, Fidelity/First Fidelity (Wells Fargo), and other organizations. His workbook credits include Assuring Information Security, Assuring IT Governance, Assuring IT Legal Compliance, IT Auditing: An Adaptive Process and IT Auditing: Information Assets Protection. He has also authored articles and presented materials addressing information system issues. selecting appropriate knowledge sharing and governance mechanisms.

Sustaining Innovation Using a Technological Integration Framework

There are stakeholders and societies that assert that organizations have a responsibility to support environmental and social sustainability efforts in a financially responsible manner.¹² As a result, enterprise innovations may necessitate generating socially acceptable benefits and value appropriation. The implication of this is that organizations without sustainable business practices will face dwindling value propositions (and dwindling competitive advantage, by extension). In other words, innovation sustainability necessitates a technological integration selection framework to ensure effective innovation governance.

Regarding social responsibility integration, a powerful linkage exists between environmental compliance and green new product developments (NPDs).¹³ Nonetheless, there are problems associated with constructing sustainable supply chain capabilities in the era of global complexity.¹⁴ In response, enterprises have deployed processes to obtain competitive advantages through sustainable business practices—enhancing stakeholder perceptions of corporate citizenship and green technology during various product life cycle stages.

Supporting this perspective, it is worthwhile to consider the manufacturing of automatic teller machines (ATMs) through the product life cycle assessment (LCA) lens. The purpose of the assessment is to determine the overall impact the product has on the environment in support of environmental management and sustainability strategy development. Therefore, an LCA traces an ATM from resource extraction to disposal and incorporates associated byproducts in its evaluation.

National Cash Register Corporation (NCR) was pursuing competitive advantage through differentiation using a customer relationship strategy that employed global supply chain management using the product LCA lens. NCR gave funding priority to sustainability research and development programs creating new products and improving the manufacturing process. For instance, based on the LCA of ATMs, NCR was extracting energy in the ATM product construction process and creating various byproducts. Thus, NCR's ATM waste products were minimized by incorporating biodegradable materials wherever possible in the production process. Additionally, NCR also used recyclable packaging and packing materials. Last, non-biodegradable materials were becoming a part of NCR's recycling initiative.

Suppliers have a role in enhancing the manufacturer's ability to realize a successful green innovation in product development.¹⁵ Buyer management power assertion can occur through procurement tactics and coordination with the suppliers. Specifically, through leveraging buyer power applied to suppliers, management can influence energy consumption, renewable resource use, pollution, byproduct toxicity and final product component waste. As a compliance requirement, through logics management, organizations can ban suppliers that do not produce sustainable products from the authorized vendors list.

APPLYING A TECHNOLOGICAL INTEGRATION DECISION FRAMEWORK ENABLES APPROPRIATE PLATFORM SELECTION FOR GOVERNING INNOVATION.

Principal suppliers in green NPD for environmentally demanding customers and markets can bring environmental and commercial success.¹⁶ Moreover, a strategically close relationship of environmental collaboration between suppliers and the buying firm through technological integration play a role in NPD.¹⁷ Therefore, aligning the organization's



sustainability and business strategies and aligning the organization's management systems and environment performance strategies are critical to implementing effective innovation processes.

Affecting the business strategy is strategic intent directed toward the pursuit of innovation and imagining future endeavors that may lead to redefining an organization's core strategies and related industries.¹⁸ Core value chain activities typically influence business strategy through assessed capabilities.^{19, 20, 21} Cross-boundary industry disruptions may, in turn, change value networks to multisided markets.²² With the increased global competitiveness, development of platforms for IT disruptive advantage and sustainability is a top strategic issue for business leaders.²³

Selecting an Innovation Platform

Applying a technological integration decision framework enables appropriate platform selection for governing innovation. Platforms are technologies, products or services furnishing crucial resources, enabling the capability to build complementary technologies, products or services.²⁴ Multisided platforms (MSPs) encompass technologies, products or services connecting different types of customers to one another. An MSP is both a platform and a market intermediary.²⁵ For technologies, the IT architecture of a platform refers to technology priorities and choices allowing applications, software, networks, hardware and data management integration into a cohesive configuration.²⁶ As a business formation, organizations are market intermediaries when employees engage in minimizing search and transaction costs for more than one group of players.²⁷

A few necessary steps can assist manager-leaders in setting a platform strategy. First, manager-leaders should decide whether to use an existing MSP, build their own platform, or do both. If the managerleaders conclude that a third-party MSP can benefit the business, the manager-leaders must determine how many the firm should join. Once managerleaders know which MSPs are appropriate for the organization, selection or rejection of features or services should occur to enable sustaining a competitive advantage. The enterprise that controls the MSP manages the interface between players and end users and dictates the rules of engagement.²⁸ Contextually, business formations can address disruptive IT from three abstraction levels employing the technological integration decision framework:

- Defender
- Prospector
- Analyzer²⁹

CONTEXTUALLY, BUSINESS FORMATIONS CAN ADDRESS DISRUPTIVE IT FROM THREE ABSTRACTION LEVELS EMPLOYING THE TECHNOLOGICAL INTEGRATION DECISION FRAMEWORK.

Defender Strategy

It is common for disruptive IT to produce a response from the industries serving the same market.³⁰ Defender organizations pursue narrow product market domains and rarely make adjustments in their operational technology, structure or methods. They devote primary attention to enhancing efficiency.³¹ Some strategy theorists recommend that firms take an aggressive transformation approach by redesigning the business into something entirely new.³² Recent theoretical and empirical research suggests organizations can simultaneously pursue efficiency and innovative adaptation through a process of ambidexterity.³³ Other strategy theorists suggest there are two strategic business alternatives besides adaptation when confronting a disruptive technology: racing or retreating.³⁴

However, organizational transformations can occur with the pursuit of two different efforts in parallel. An enterprise can reposition core business through adapting the current business model to meet customer needs in the altered market and simultaneously create a separate envisioned disruptive IT innovation that will enable future growth.³⁵ Beneficially, the dual transformation business strategy allows enterprises to harness disruptions repeatedly to build sustainability.

Three themes to guide business strategy development of platforms when addressing disruption are:³⁶

- Embrace disruption
- · Build shared value
- Dare to be open

Prospector Strategy

Prospectors are change and uncertainty creators that require their competitors to respond, almost continuously seek market opportunities and possess flexible technologies.37 Innovation intermediaries provide a filtering process for potentially disruptive technologies. Within the intermediary classifications, an often overlooked middle option between unvetted ideas and marketready products are market-ready ideas developed by the innovation capitalist. Innovation capitalists pursue and evaluate product concepts in the inventor community, develop and refine those concepts, and market the results to organizations. Even so, many large organizations have traditionally acquired single-product enterprises to source innovation externally, particularly within the consumer products and technology sectors.38

In contrast, some enterprises have sought market-ready products or businesses without the assistance of intermediaries. These enterprises typically provide a platform and the resources for start-ups or independent innovators to develop and sell their product ideas.³⁹ Beneficially, these firms can attract and carefully examine an innovative concept or businesses they might want to acquire through creating captive marketplaces or offering in-house incubation services for external ventures⁴⁰ by which radical changes in IT platforms have resulted in revolutionary and pervasive innovations in software development organizations across three innovation types:⁴¹

- Adopted base technologies
- Produced services
- Selected processes

DISRUPTIVE IT BUSINESS STRATEGIES FOR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE AFFECT BUSINESS STRATEGIES AND ORGANIZATION PERFORMANCE.

Analyzer Strategy

Analyzers function in two marketplace or product domain types, one stable and the other morphing. The analyzers behave like defenders in stable areas and like prospectors in morphing areas.⁴² As applied to IT, the business shaping strategy platforms provide leverage for participants, thereby reducing their risk. Beneficially, shaping platforms allow participants to do more with less. The shaping strategy platform transparently defines standards and practices to guide the activities of large numbers of participants. Additionally, the shaping business strategy fosters specialization among participants. Last, the shaping business strategy for disruptive IT enables increases in value and functionality as more participants join.⁴³ The IT industry commonly deploys an *ad-hocracy* organizational structure. Whereby, a primary ad-hocracy goal is fostering adaptability, flexibility, and creativity where uncertainty, ambiguity or information overload is typical. A significant challenge for these organizations is producing innovative products and services and rapidly adapting to new opportunities. A strong emphasis is placed on individuality, and risk taking and anticipating the future endure since almost everyone in the organizational ad-hocracy takes an interest in every functional aspect of the firm.44 Given that NCR employees operate under a clan culture, management should deploy innovation governance using an MSP analyzer strategy to obtain a competitive advantage.

Conclusion

Disruptive IT business strategies for competitive advantage affect business strategies and organization performance. IT systems, processes, activities and tasks represent the critical support structure for effective information and communication configurations. Almost every organizational formation aspires to use technology for integrating information, achieving process efficiencies and transforming service delivery into a paragon of effectiveness.⁴⁵ However, most organizational formations have come to realize that emphasizing technologies and enterprise-centric solutions will not produce the desired results and a holistic approach is required.^{46, 47}

NCR's mission reflects a multisector organization with a second-to-none leadership position in each of their products and services, thereby exceeding the expectations of customers, employees and the community. NCR's vision of quality products and sustained services to every customer and user helps to align the organization's strategy for sustainability. NCR reduced costs by controlling waste and using the waste to generate byproduct products. NCR also has a strong organizational principle to maintain a pollution-free organization. However, NCR can create immediate value by reducing the level of raw material consumption for its principal products by using modern technologies. The presented technological integration decision framework can aid NCR in placing organizational activities in perspective. Moreover, applying a technological integration decision framework to innovation governance can work toward creating and maintaining value and simplicity in strategy decision-making.

Endnotes

- 1 Hunter, M.; "On Some of the Misconceptions About Entrepreneurship," *Economics, Management and Financial Markets,* vol. 7, iss. 2, 2012, https://www. addletonacademicpublishers.com/contentsemfm/129-volume-7-2-2012/1514-on-some-ofthe-misconceptions-about-entrepreneurship
- 2 Ibid.
- 3 Dasgupta, M.; R. K. Gupta; A. Sahay; "Linking Technological Innovation, Technology Strategy and Organizational Factors: A Review," *Global Business Review*, vol. 12, iss. 2, 2011, p. 257-277
- 4 Kim, W. Chan; R. Mauborgne; *Blue Ocean Strategy*, Harvard Business School Press, USA, 2005
- 5 Ibid.
- 6 Zhou, K. Z.; C. Bingxin Li; "How Knowledge Affects Radical Innovation: Knowledge Base, Market Knowledge Acquisition, and Internal Knowledge Sharing," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 33, iss. 9, 2012, p. 1090-1102
- 7 Frenz, M.; G. letto-Gillies; "The Impact on Innovation Performance of Different Sources of Knowledge: Evidence from the UK Community Innovation Survey," *Research Policy*, vol. 38, iss. 7, 2009, p. 1125-1135
- 8 Laursen, K.; A. Salter; "Open for Innovation: The Role of Openness in Explaining Innovation Performance Among UK Manufacturing Firms," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 27, iss. 2, 2006, p. 131-150
- Kim, Y.; S. S. Lui; "The Impacts of External Network and Business Group on Innovation: Do the Types of Innovation Matter?" *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 68, iss. 9, 2015, p. 1964-2973

- 10 Ritala, P. et al.; "Knowledge Sharing, Knowledge Leaking and Innovation Performance: An Empirical Study," ISPIM Conference Proceedings, 2013, https://www.ispim-innovation.com
- 11 Yang, D.; "How Does Knowledge Sharing and Governance Mechanism Affect Innovation Capabilities?—From the Coevolution Perspective," *International Business Research*, vol. 4, iss. 1, 2010, p. 154-157
- 12 Glavas, A.; J. Mish; "Resources and Capabilities of Triple Bottom Line Firms: Going Over Old or Breaking New Ground?" *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 127, iss. 3, 2015, p. 623-642
- **13** Lee, K.; J. Kim; "Integrating Suppliers Into Green Product Innovation Development: An Empirical Case Study in the Semiconductor Industry," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 20, iss. 8, 2011, p. 527-538
- 14 Gunasekaran, A.; P. Hong; T. Fujimoto; "Building Supply Chain System Capabilities in the Age of Global Complexity: Emerging Theories and Practices," International Journal of Production Economics, vol. 147, 2014, p. 189-197
- **15** Op cit Lee and Kim
- 16 Ibid.
- **17** *Ibid*.
- 18 Graetz, F.; "Strategic Thinking Versus Strategic Planning: Towards Understanding the Complementarities," *Management Decision*, vol. 40, iss. 5, 2002, p. 456-462
- 19 Gottfredson, M.; R. Puryear; S. Phillips; "Strategic Sourcing: From Periphery to the Core," Harvard Business Review, vol. 83, iss. 2, 2005, p. 132-139, https://hbr.org/2005/02/ strategic-sourcing-from-periphery-to-the-core
- 20 Handfield, R.; R. Sroufe; S. Walton; "Integrating Environmental Management and Supply Chain Strategies," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 14, iss. 1, 2005, p. 1-19
- 21 Porter, M. E.; "The Five Competitive Forces that Shape Strategy," *Harvard Business Review*, January 2008, p. 25-40, *https://hbr.org/2008/01/ the-five-competitive-forces-that-shape-strategy*
- 22 Pagani, M.; "Digital Business Strategy and Value Creation: Framing the Dynamic Cycle of Control Points," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 37, iss. 2, 2013, p. 617-632, *https://misq.org/catalog/product/ view/id/1601*

- 23 Berman, S.; A. Marshall; "Reinventing the Rules of Engagement: Three Strategies for Winning the Information Technology Race," *Strategy and Leadership*, vol. 42, iss. 4, 2014, p. 22-32
- 24 Hagiu, A.; D. B. Yoffie; "What's Your Google Strategy?" Harvard Business Review, vol. 87, iss. 4, 2009, p. 74-81, https://hbr.org/2009/04/ whats-your-google-strategy
- 25 Ibid.
- 26 Masa'deh, R. E.; "The Impact of Information Technology Infrastructure Flexibility on Firm Performance: An Empirical Study of Jordanian Public Shareholding Firms," Jordan Journal of Business Administration, vol. 9, iss. 1, 2013, p. 204-224
- 27 Op cit Hagiu and Yoffie
- 28 Ibid.
- 29 Blumentritt, T.; W. M. Danis; "Business Strategy Types and Innovative Practices," *Journal of Managerial Issues*, vol. 18, iss. 2, 2006, p. 274-291
- 30 Gilbert, C.; M. Eyring; R. N. Foster; "Two Routes to Resilience," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 90, iss. 12, 2012, p. 65-73, https://hbr.org/2012/12/ two-routes-to-resilience
- 31 Op cit Blumentritt and Danis
- 32 Op cit Gilbert, Eyring and Foster
- 33 Mahsud, R.; G. Yukl; G.E. Prussia; "Human Capital, Efficiency, and Innovative Adaptation as Strategic Determinants of Firm Performance," Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies, vol. 18, iss. 2, 2011, p. 229-246
- 34 Adner, R.; D. Snow; "Old Technology Responses to New Technology Threats: Demand Heterogeneity and Technology Retreats," *Industrial and Corporate Change*, vol. 19, iss. 5, 2010, p. 1655-1675

- 35 Op cit Gilbert, Eyring and Foster
- **36** Op cit Berman and Marshall
- 37 Op cit Blumentritt and Danis
- 38 Nambisan, S.; M. Sawhney; "A Buyer's Guide to the Innovation Bazaar," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 85, iss. 6, 2007, p. 109-118, https://hbr.org/2007/06/a-buyers-guide-to-theinnovation-bazaar
- **39** Ibid.
- 40 Ibid.
- 41 Carlo, J. L. et al.; "Early vs. Late Adoption of Radical Information Technology Innovations Across Software Development Organizations: An Extension of the Disruptive Information Technology Innovation Model," *Information Systems Journal*, vol. 24, iss. 6, 2014, p. 537-569
- 42 Op cit Blumentritt and Danis
- Hagel, J.; J. S. Brown; L. Davison; "Shaping Strategy in a World of Constant Disruption," Harvard Business Review, vol. 86, iss. 10, 2008, p. 80-89, https://hbr.org/2008/10/shapingstrategy-in-a-world-of-constant-disruption
- 44 Übius, Ü.; R. Alas; "Organizational Culture Types as Predictors of Corporate Social Responsibility," *Inzinerine Ekonomika-Engineering Economics*, vol. 1, iss. 1, 2009, p. 90-99, 2009, http://www.ktu.lt/en/inzeko
- **45** Davis, R. E.; *Assuring IT Governance*, Robert E. Davis, USA, 2011
- 46 Ibid.
- 47 De Haes, S.; W. V. Grembergen; R. S. Debreceny; "COBIT 5 and Enterprise Governance of Information Technology: Building Blocks and Research Opportunities," *Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 27, iss. 1, 2013, p. 307-324